

Remarks:

This amendment is submitted in an earnest effort to advance this case to issue without delay.

The specification has been amended to eliminate some minor obvious errors. No new matter whatsoever has been added.

More particularly, amended claim 1 clearly recites a number of dimensional relationships, in particular of cross-sectional sizes that are not shown or suggested in Zarins. In addition the orientation and sizes of the two branches so that they split the rising blood flow are unique and not suggested by the reference. The instant invention is a specifically described and constructed device that is not shown in the art.

The claims have been edited heavily to overcome the formal objections and to clearly define the instant invention over the art. As clarified, the claims clearly define a structure that

is substantially different from that of the publication of Zarins,
so the §102 rejection must fall.

Respectfully submitted,
The Firm of Karl F. Ross P.C.



by: Andrew Wilford, 26,597
Attorney for Applicant

09 June 2006
5676 Riverdale Avenue Box 900
Bronx, NY 10471-0900
Cust. No.: 535
Tel: (718) 884-6600
Fax: (718) 601-1099

Enclosure: Request for extension (three months)
Corrected version
Substitute specification
Substitute Abstract